



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 15 Jan 2002

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| To: Will | From: Dung Nguyen |
| Application/Control Number: 09/256,702 | Art Unit: 2871 |
| Fax No.: (510) 843-6203 | Phone No.: 703-305-0423 |
| Voice No.: (650) 961-8301 | Return Fax No.: 703-746-7730 |
| Re: Limited Recognition (09/256,702) | CC: |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> For Comment <input type="checkbox"/> For Reply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Per Your Request | |

Comments:

If you have not received all of the pages, please contact to the Examiner Nguyen at the above phone number.

Sent 01/16/02 3:00PM

Number of pages 02 including this page

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/256,702 | MATHEW ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Dung Nguyen | 2871 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dung Nguyen. (3)_____.

(2) William J. Plut. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 15 January 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A copy of the Limited Recognition granted by OED of the USPTO for William J. Plut has been received. Accordingly, a notice of non-responsive amendment dated 11/30/2001 was hereby withdrawn and amendment filed on 08/22/2001 will be entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


William L. Sikes
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required